

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
STATESVILLE DIVISION

APR 1 2005
14:35

CRIMINAL NO. 5:04CR35

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
)
)
 VS.)
)
)
 PAUL G. TIMMINS)
 _____)


ORDER

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the parties' plea agreement, filed August 3, 2004.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that defense counsel review the attached waiver with the Defendant, obtain the Defendant's signature witnessed by defense counsel or notarized, and file the waiver with the Clerk no later than 24 hours prior to the sentencing hearing scheduled for Tuesday, April 5, 2005.

The Clerk of Court shall send a copy of this Order and attached waiver to the United States Attorney.

THIS the 24th day of March, 2005.



LACY H. THORNBURG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
STATESVILLE DIVISION**

CRIMINAL NO. 5:04CR35

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS.

PAUL G. TIMMINS

WAIVER

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the parties' plea agreement, filed August 3, 2004. By separate Order, defense counsel has been ordered to review this waiver with the Defendant, obtain the Defendant's signature thereon and witness same or have the waiver notarized, and file the waiver with the Clerk no later than 24 hours prior to the sentencing hearing scheduled for Tuesday, April 5, 2005.

Prior to January 12, 2005, the Court was required under federal law to consider and follow the applicable Sentencing Guidelines in sentencing federal defendants and the Court could depart from those Guidelines only in specific circumstances. Since that time, the United States Supreme Court has determined in the case of *United States v. Booker*, 125 S. Ct. 738 (Jan. 12, 2005), that the Court is not bound by the Sentencing Guidelines but nevertheless must consult the Guidelines and take them into account when sentencing.

The Defendant has previously entered into a written plea agreement with the Government. Under the terms of that plea agreement, the Defendant agreed to be sentenced under the Sentencing Guidelines which would require this Court to sentence him in accordance

with the Sentencing Guidelines. However, as a result of the *Booker* decision, the Defendant may elect to be sentenced by the Court using its discretion and referring to the Guidelines as advisory. If appealed, the sentence would be reviewed by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals for reasonableness. If the Defendant makes this election, the sentence the Court imposes will be in the Court's sound discretion and could be greater or less than the sentence that would have been imposed if the Sentencing Guidelines were mandatory. Either way, the Court will follow the procedural components of the Guidelines system, which means that the Probation Office has prepared a presentence report which contains Guidelines calculations and both the Defendant and the Government have been given an opportunity to object to any alleged deficiencies in that report. The Court will, however, resolve any disputes.

The Defendant and defense counsel are, therefore, required to inform the Court whether the Defendant wishes to be sentenced under the terms of the plea agreement pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines or by this Court using the Guidelines as advisory.

Is it the request of the Defendant PAUL G. TIMMINS that your sentence be determined in accordance with the terms of the plea agreement and that the Court impose the sentence that would be required by the Sentencing Guidelines?

YES: _____

NO: _____

If the Defendant has answered "Yes," he must then answer the following questions:

Do you agree to the following:

(1) to have your sentence determined pursuant to the United States Sentencing Guidelines;

YES: _____

NO: _____

(2) to waive the right to have the facts that determine the offense level under the Guidelines, including facts that support any specific offense characteristics or other enhancements or adjustments, alleged in an indictment and found by a jury beyond a reasonable doubt;

YES: _____

NO: _____

(3) to have the facts that determine the offense level found by the Court at sentencing by a preponderance of the evidence which may be established by any reliable evidence, including hearsay evidence;

YES: _____

NO: _____

(4) to waive constitutional challenges to the validity of the Sentencing Guidelines and to the Sixth Amendment right to have the Guidelines considered in an advisory capacity?

YES: _____

NO: _____

THIS the _____ day of _____, 2005.

DEFENDANT

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT

United States District Court
 for the
 Western District of North Carolina
 March 24, 2005

* * MAILING CERTIFICATE OF CLERK * *

Re: 5:04-cr-00035

True and correct copies of the attached were mailed by the clerk to the following:

Michael Skladd, Esq.
 48781 Bridgeview
 Shelby Township, MI 48315

cc:
 Judge (4)
 Magistrate Judge ()
 U.S. Marshal (4)
 Probation (4)
 U.S. Attorney (4)
 Atty. for Deft. ()
 Defendant ()
 Warden ()
 Bureau of Prisons ()
 Court Reporter ()
 Courtroom Deputy ()
 Orig-Security ()
 Bankruptcy Clerk's Ofc. ()
 Other _____ ()

Date: 3/24/05

Frank G. Johns, Clerk

By: E. Barton
 Deputy Clerk